

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,252	09/19/2003	David R. Jones IV	24935D	1138
22889	7590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
OWENS CORNING 2790 COLUMBUS ROAD GRANVILLE, OH 43023			ADDIE, RAYMOND W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/667,252	JONES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Raymond W. Addie	3671			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	* *					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2005.					
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19 and 22 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>19 and 22</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r cleation requirement				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on $9/19/03$ is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmei		4) 🗍 Interview Summal	rv (PTO-413)			
1) <b>X</b> Noti 2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date			
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,252 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Applicant provided the incorrect filing date for 10/188,447 on the Application data sheet filed 9/19/03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Marzocchi et al. # 4,362,780.

Marzocchi et al. discloses a method of improving a paved surface comprising the steps:

Applying a layer of liquefied asphalt on a surface.

Applying a non-woven mat over the liquefied asphalt.

Applying a layer of paving material over the mat.

Wherein the mat comprises mineral fibers.

Although Marzocchi et al. discloses a method of improving a paved surface, utilizing a mat comprising non-woven mineral fibers, such as glass, wherein the mat is resistant to

Application/Control Number: 10/667,252

Art Unit: 3671

shrinkage and does not elongate greater than 5% when exposed to 90% of the mats load strength, as claimed; Marzocchi et al. explicitly recites the use of glass, fusible rock or slag, ceramic or aluminum silicate fibers, which obviously, if not inherently doe not elongate more that 5% when exposed to 9% of the ultimate load strength of the mat disclosed by Marzocchi et al.

With respect to Claim 22, although Marzocchi et al. does not disclose the melting point(temp.) of the mineral fibers, Marzocchi et al. explicitly recites the use of glass, fusible rock or slag, ceramic or aluminum silicate fibers. Further, it is inherent that the cited mineral fibers have a melting point above 350°F. See Col. 3.

## Response to Arguments

3. It is noted Applicants have failed to file any arguments in favor of the claimed invention, or against the rejections cited in the last office action; with the filing of the RCE on 11/9/05. Hence, it appears as though Applicant is in agreement with the rejections cited in the last office action and repeated above.

Further, Applicant is directed to the Examiner's response to arguments cited in the last office action.

Art Unit: 3671

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Addie whose telephone number is (571) 272-6986. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 7:00 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (571) 272-6998.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Addie Patent Examiner Group 3600

RWA 12/19/2005